

# DIAMOND HILL

INVESTED IN THE LONG RUN

## Small-Mid Cap Strategy

(closed to most new investors)

As of 31 Mar 2023

### Market Commentary

In a choppy Q1 2023, US stocks rose just over 7% (as measured by the Russell 3000 Index), ultimately continuing late-2022's positive trajectory. Large-cap stocks led in Q1, up over 7%, with mid-cap stocks rising 4% and small-cap stocks up nearly 3% (as measured by the Russell indices). Value's 2022 outperformance reversed in Q1, with growth outperforming handily across the cap spectrum. The Russell 1000 Value Index rose a modest 1%, while the Russell 1000 Growth Index rose over 14%. Meanwhile, the Russell Midcap Value Index was up just over 1% and its growth counterpart rose over 9%; the Russell 2000 Value Index declined nearly -1%, and the Russell 2000 Growth Index was up over 6%.


Reversing more of 2022's generally prevailing patterns, energy stocks were down in Q1, falling -9%, as a more temperate-than-expected European winter has eased concerns about the impact of Russia's ongoing war in Ukraine. Conversely, information technology (14%) and consumer discretionary (10%) stocks led in Q1, bouncing sharply to start the year as much of the market's focus seemingly turned to select troubled financials firms, which contributed to a down quarter for the index's second worst performing sector (-7%).

### Team

**Chris Welch, CFA**  
Portfolio Manager

**Kristen Sheffield, CFA, CIPM**  
Portfolio Specialist

### 1Q23 Russell 2500 Index Sector Returns (%)



Source: FactSet, as of 31 Mar 2023.

The dominant headlines in Q1 were initially consistent with those which prevailed in much of 2022: inflation's stickiness and the major global central banks' anticipated reactions. As was also true throughout much of last year, the picture remains cloudy as ever. In the US, inflation remains stubbornly high, yet even as the Federal Reserve has raised rates (including another 25 basis points as Q1 concluded), the economy has seemingly remained relatively robust – particularly considering employment numbers, which are the second half of the Federal Reserve's dual mandate. Investors seemed to continue their attempts to parse every major piece of economic data for signs the rate-hike cycle would end soon.

However, banks took over the headlines in early March, as Silicon Valley Bank (SVB), First Republic (FRC), Signature Bank and a few other primarily regional banks faced solvency concerns. Globally, Credit Suisse was also impacted, with UBS stepping in to purchase the troubled European bank. We will have more to say about specific financials stocks as relevant to our portfolio's Q1 performance – however, broadly speaking, we believe Q1's issues were largely specific to a handful of troubled banks and, at this point, don't foresee major cause for contagion concerns. That said, we are ever vigilant when it comes to our stock selection process and will continue rigorously assessing the bottom-up fundamentals of any company in which we are invested or may be considering.

Regardless of the contagion potential, the news certainly impacted markets in March – ultimately dragging the whole financials sector down and muting Q1's overall gain. We noted in Q4 that US financial institutions remained relatively strong, even amid the ongoing rate-hike cycle. Q1's issues notwithstanding, we believe that remains largely the case – though should the Fed continue raising rates over the next several months, other pockets of the banking sector may find themselves relatively exposed.

For the time being, major global central banks do seem inclined to continue raising rates – in addition to the Federal Reserve, the Bank of England and European Central Bank (ECB) raised rates in March. ECB President Christine Lagarde indicated the future path of rates must be highly data dependent – while simultaneously noting that central banks' ongoing fight with inflation and supporting the banking system are not mutually exclusive.

Given the ongoing macroeconomic and monetary policy opacity, it's not particularly surprising markets seemed somewhat befuddled as 2023 opened. We anticipate there will be some ongoing fallout from the recent banking situation – including impacts on earnings, which will read out over the next couple of quarters. However, we also maintain our belief in the value of employing a five-year outlook – a sufficient time horizon to allow our fundamental, bottom-up approach to identifying high-quality companies trading at attractive valuations to help us identify investment candidates capable of delivering attractive long-term returns.

## Performance Discussion

Our portfolio modestly trailed the Russell 2500 Index in Q1. Relative weakness was heavily concentrated in our financials holdings. Our below-benchmark exposure to information technology, as well as relative weakness among our holdings, was also a headwind to relative results. Conversely, our industrials holdings were additive to relative performance in Q1.

On an individual holdings' basis, top contributors to return in Q1 included WESCO International and ESAB Corporation. WESCO International, a leading distributor of electrical, industrial and communications materials, is benefiting from its Anixter acquisition, which is generating stronger revenue and cost synergies than initially anticipated. The company has been able to successfully cross-sell Anixter/WESCO products and has benefited from better access to products than smaller peers, many of whom have struggled with ongoing supply chain issues. As the largest player in a fragmented market, we are attracted by the company's approach to bolt-on acquisitions – including its recent purchase of Rahi Systems, which was also beneficial to Q1 operating results – and maintain our conviction in the attractive outlook from here.

Fabrication technology company ESAB has delivered solid organic growth, even against a challenging macroeconomic backdrop. While a slowing economy could make 2023 somewhat challenging, we maintain our conviction in the long-term outlook given the company's strong position in emerging markets, which should drive strong growth over time. Further, we anticipate the company's continuous focus on improvement should help it expand into adjacent areas – such as gas control and welding automation – which should contribute to further margin expansion over time.

Other top contributors included NVR, Red Rock Resorts and Sensata Technologies. US homebuilder NVR has benefited as mortgage rates have dropped, contributing to better-than-expected home sales activity. Locals' casino operator Red Rock Resorts has proven resilient, maintaining solid fundamentals even in the face of wage inflation. Manufacturer of automotive and industrial sensors and controls Sensata continues making progress in pivoting its business toward higher-growth areas like electric vehicles and electrification infrastructure.

Our bottom contributors in Q1 were heavily concentrated in the financials sector, including First Republic and SVB Financial Group. We initially liked SVB for its unique franchise based on deep relationships within the venture capital and startup communities — relationships which were valued by clients. SVB had what we, and many others, viewed as a strong deposit base contributing to great credit quality. The company had also experienced strong growth. We were aware that SVB's increasing deposit growth rate (particularly of uninsured deposits) more recently meant it had turned to buying low-yielding investment securities, as there was not enough loan demand to put deposits to work. Rising interest rates over the past few quarters had resulted in unrealized losses, but since those securities would mature at par, the unrealized losses would only be an issue if the bank was forced to sell to generate liquidity.

We believed SVB had sufficient options for generating liquidity should it need to. What we did not anticipate was the rapidity with which uninsured deposits would be pulled from the bank — leading to forced sales at a loss and, ultimately, regulators closing the bank and thereby terminating our holding. Following SVB's failure, fear spread to First Republic. Though a high-quality institution from a credit perspective, in our view, the market continued to focus on the possibility the deposit base or balance sheet could become impaired. Given the wide range of potential outcomes, we exited our position in First Republic.

Fear spread beyond directly impacted institutions, however, with liquidity fears touching the entire banking industry and a particular focus on uninsured deposit balances and high commercial real estate exposure. This focus weighed on the shares of Bank United, BOK Financial and Webster Financial in Q1. However, we have rigorously reevaluated each banks' balance sheet and fundamentals in the wake of the volatility and maintain our conviction in their overarching quality and their unique positions within their respective regions. We believe the market overreacted to SVB's failure, dragging down shares of institutions that are meaningfully different and which — at this point — seem relatively unlikely to encounter similar solvency concerns. While we will remain vigilant in evaluating any new information which could alter our theses for any of these institutions, for now, we are maintaining our exposure.

## Portfolio Activity

As is often the case, market volatility offers a unique opportunity to shift the portfolio, capitalizing on valuations to introduce new holdings which we believe will offer attractive long-term returns. Accordingly, we capitalized on Q1's choppiness to initiate positions in Arrow Electronics and Stifel Financial.

Arrow Electronics is one of the largest global distributors of electronic components and enterprise solutions. We expect the company to capitalize on its cash flow-generating business to repurchase shares and reduce debt — which should drive attractive returns for shareholders over time.

Stifel Financial provides wealth management and institutional brokerage and investment banking services. In contrast to community banks, Stifel has relatively low exposure to lending risk, and its diversified business model is relatively well-suited to the current environment. Further, Stifel is well-positioned to benefit from long-term secular growth trends in wealth management. The wealth management addressable market in the US is meaningful, and with its 25 years' experience in wealth management and the platform necessary to allow it to scale its business — including Stifel Bank — we anticipate the company will continue growing its advisor count as assets under management increase, which should in turn drive attractive revenue and earnings growth.

New positions were funded in part with the proceeds of our sale of homebuilder Century Communities. We also concluded our investment in natural gas distribution utility South Jersey Industries as the company was acquired.

## Market Outlook

Market participants have been gripped by the recent failures of SVB Financial and Signature Bank, with continued concern about follow-on impacts. Interestingly, the broad market has been quite resilient and ended the quarter up more than 7%.

Recent economic data have been strong; however, effects of the recent bank failures are not yet known. To the extent this impacts banks' willingness to lend, it would negatively affect economic growth. Balancing the potential economic impact with inflation levels that remain high complicates the Fed's decision making regarding monetary policy. Thus far, the banking crisis has led the Fed to be less aggressive than it may have otherwise been, as evidenced by the 25 basis-point federal funds hike on March 22, which was below the market's expectation of 50 basis points just two weeks prior.

(closed to most new investors)

One of the contributing factors to the bank failures was the unrealized losses on banks' securities portfolios, which are a result of last year's rapid rise in interest rates. Meaningful additional rate hikes could exacerbate this issue.

Corporate earnings growth is expected to slow in 2023, weighed down in part by an expected decline in energy sector earnings due to commodities prices that are much lower than their mid-2022 peaks. There may be additional earnings pressure as the effects of recent events within the banking sector play out.

The sharp rise in interest rates since the beginning of 2022 has created a very different backdrop for equities. Cheap and abundant capital that had been a tailwind for early stage, high-growth, profitless companies has largely gone away, and the effects of that were seen in the dramatic outperformance of value stocks relative to growth stocks in 2022. We have been surprised that many of the more speculative growth stocks have been leading the market thus far in 2023.

2022's equity market decline brought valuations back near historical averages, and despite the market's mid-single digit increase in Q1 2023, that largely remains the case. That would suggest equity market returns in the range of historical averages over the next five years. However, there may be additional risk to near-term earnings due to recent events within the banking industry, along with the general risk of an economic slowdown. If that occurs, market valuations may be slightly higher than they currently appear, which could modestly weigh on expected returns from current levels.

Our primary focus is always on achieving value-added results for our existing clients, and we believe we can achieve better-than-market returns over the next five years through active portfolio management.

Period and Annualized Total Returns (%)	Since Inception (31 Dec 2005)	15Y	10Y	5Y	3Y	1Y	YTD	1Q23
Gross of Fees	9.20	10.03	9.43	6.91	23.63	-7.49	3.05	3.05
Net of Fees	8.19	9.02	8.45	6.00	22.58	-8.28	2.83	2.83
Russell 2500 Index	8.24	9.05	9.07	6.65	19.42	-10.39	3.39	3.39
Russell 2500 Value Index	7.20	8.09	7.72	5.61	21.80	-10.53	1.40	1.40

Calendar Year Returns (%)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Gross of Fees	-29.38	41.71	24.74	-2.96	16.93	43.32	8.42	2.45	19.30	9.63	-11.71	28.84	2.29	32.34	-12.80
Net of Fees	-30.05	40.36	23.55	-3.88	15.82	41.96	7.39	1.47	18.17	8.59	-12.51	27.75	1.42	31.22	-13.54
Russell 2500 Index	-36.79	34.39	26.71	-2.51	17.88	36.80	7.07	-2.90	17.59	16.81	-10.00	27.77	19.99	18.18	-18.37
Russell 2500 Value Index	-31.99	27.68	24.82	-3.36	19.21	33.32	7.11	-5.49	25.20	10.36	-12.36	23.56	4.88	27.78	-13.08

Diamond Hill Capital Management, Inc. (DHCM) is a registered investment adviser and wholly owned subsidiary of Diamond Hill Investment Group, Inc.; registration does not imply a certain level of skill or training. Diamond Hill provides investment management services to individuals and institutional investors through mutual funds and separate accounts. DHCM claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®). The Small-Mid Cap Composite is comprised of all discretionary, non-fee and fee-paying, non-wrap accounts managed according to the firm's Small-Mid Cap strategy, including those clients no longer with the firm. The strategy's investment objective is to achieve long-term capital appreciation by investing in companies within the market capitalization range of the strategy that are selling for less than our estimate of intrinsic value. The Small-Mid Cap strategy typically invests in companies with a market capitalization between \$500 million and \$10 billion (or, if greater, the maximum market capitalization of companies generally within the capitalization range of the Russell 2500 Index) at the time of purchase. Index data source: London Stock Exchange Group PLC. See [diamond-hill.com/disclosures](http://diamond-hill.com/disclosures) for a full copy of the disclaimer. To receive a complete list and description of all Diamond Hill composites and/or a GIPS® report, contact Scott Stapleton at 614.255.3329, [ssapleton@diamond-hill.com](mailto:ssapleton@diamond-hill.com) or 325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 200, Columbus, OH 43215. The performance data quoted represents past performance; past performance does not guarantee future results. Composite results reflect the reinvestment of dividends, capital gains and other earnings when appropriate. Net returns are calculated by reducing the gross returns by the highest stated fee in the composite fee schedule. Only transaction costs are deducted from gross of fees returns. Prior to 30 September 2022, actual fees were used in calculating net returns. All net returns were changed retroactively to reflect the highest fee in the composite fee schedule. GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. The US Dollar is the currency used to express performance.

Securities referenced may not be representative of all portfolio holdings. The reader should not assume that an investment in the securities was or will be profitable.

**The views expressed are those of Diamond Hill as of 31 March 2023 and are subject to change without notice. These opinions are not intended to be a forecast of future events, a guarantee of future results or investment advice. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal.**